

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10084227 7

Store

KF

534

M45

1974

Mendes da Costa, Derek

Materials on the

Divorce act. 1974/75

[ed.]

Store

KF

534

M45

1974



MATERIALS ON THE DIVORCE ACT

D. Mendes da Costa
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1974-75

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

PART I

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law 1.1.1

CHAPTER II

TRANSITIONAL AND REPEAL

Section 1 THE STATUTE

Transitional and Repeal 2.1.1

Section 2 REPEAL

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law 2.2.1

Rose v. Rose 2.2.3

Hurson v. Hurson 2.2.6

Anderson v. Anderson 2.2.7

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law 2.2.9

Section 3 TRANSITIONAL

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law 2.3.1

Jackson v. Jackson 2.3.2

CHAPTER III

STATISTICAL INFORMATION

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law 3.1.1

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family
Law - Supplement 3.1.3

CHAPTER IV

JURISDICTION

Section 1 GENERAL

Problem 4.1.1

The Statute (as amended) 4.1.2

Section 2 THE REQUIREMENTS OF DOMICILE

Khalifa v. Khalifa 4.2.1

Armstrong v. Armstrong 4.2.3

Section 3 CANADIAN DOMICILE

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law 4.3.1

Jablonowski v. Jablonowski 4.3.3

Section 4 DOMICILE OF THE WIFE

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law 4.4.1

Geldart v. Geldart 4.4.3

Cagnoni v. Cagnoni 4.4.5

Dimitrijević v. Dimitrijevic 4.4.6

Section 5 POINT OF TIME DOMICILE MUST EXIST

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law 4.5.1

Weston v. Weston 4.5.2

(ii)

Section 6 THE REQUIREMENTS OF RESIDENCE

Cullen v. Cullen	4.6.1
------------------	-------

Section 7 ORDINARILY RESIDENT

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	4.7.1
Hardy v. Hardy	4.7.3
Marsellus v. Marsellus	4.7.5
Giradin v. Giradin	4.7.6
Zoldester v. Zoldester	4.7.9

Section 8 ACTUALLY RESIDENT

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	4.8.1
Wood v. Wood	4.8.4
Hardy v. Hardy	4.8.5
Marsellus v. Marsellus	4.8.6
Giradin v. Giradin	4.8.8

Section 9 PURPOSE OF RESIDENCE QUALIFICATIONS

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	4.9.1
---	-------

Section 10 CONCURRENT PROCEEDINGS

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	4.10.1
Barlow v. Barlow	4.10.3

Section 11 COUNTER-PETITION

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	4.11.1
Boyce v. Boyce	4.11.2
Force v. Force	4.11.3

Section 12 WHERE PETITION OPPOSED

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	4.12.1
Johnston v. Johnston	4.12.4

Section 13 RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN DECREES

Rowland v. Rowland	4.13.1
--------------------	--------

Section 14 POLYGAMOUS MARRIAGES

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	4.14.1
Lim v. Lim	4.14.2
Re Quon	4.14.5
The Matrimonial Proceedings (Polygamous Marriages) Act 1972	4.14.8
The Matrimonial Causes Act	4.14.10
The Matrimonial Causes Act 1959, (Aust.)	4.14.11

CHAPTER V

RECONCILIATION

Section 1 GENERAL

Problem	5.1.1
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	5.1.2

(iii)

Section 2 DUTY OF LEGAL ADVISER

The Statute	5.2.1
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	5.2.2
Canadian Bar Bulletin	5.2.3

Section 3 DUTY OF THE COURT

The Statute	5.3.1
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	5.3.2
Paskiewich v. Paskiewich	5.3.4
Miller v. Miller	5.3.5

Section 4 CONCLUSION

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	5.4.1
---	-------

Section 5 EVIDENCE

The Statute	5.5.1
Robson v. Robson	5.5.2
Cronkwright v. Cronkwright	5.5.5

CHAPTER VI

FACTORS WHICH MAY PRECLUDE RELIEF

Section 1 GENERAL

Problem	6.1.1
The Statute	6.1.3

Section 2 THOSE PROVISIONS WHICH APPLY
TO SECTION 3

The Statute	6.2.1
-------------	-------

(a) General Principles

Strachan v. Strachan	6.2.2
Nielsen v. Nielsen	6.2.5
Leaderhouse v. Leaderhouse	6.2.7
Profit v. Profit	6.2.12
Kratko v. Kratko	6.2.14
Grandy v. Grandy	6.2.15

(b) Onus of Proof

Trites v. Trites	6.2.18
Sergeant v. Sergeant	6.2.25

(c) Abrogation of Doctrine of Revival

The Statute	6.2.28
Lyons v. Lyons	6.2.28
Croft v. Croft	6.2.30
Harasyn v. Harasyn	6.2.33
Jaworski v. Jaworski	6.2.34
Raney v. Raney	6.2.36

(d) The "public interest"

The Statute	6.2.38
Blue v. Blue	6.2.38
Sergeant v. Sergeant	6.2.39
Getson v. Getson	6.2.40
Harasyn v. Harasyn	6.2.41
Nielsen v. Nielsen	6.2.42
Allan v. Allan	6.2.43
Saxton v. Saxton	6.2.44

(e) Limitation Upon the Definition of
Condonation

The Statute	6.2.46
Stevenson v. Stevenson	6.2.46
Cherniski v. Cherniski	6.2.47
Armstrong v. Armstrong	6.2.48
Busch v. Busch	6.2.49
Report of the Special Joint Committee ... on Divorce	6.2.51
Maddock v. Maddock	6.2.52
Fleet v. Fleet	6.2.58
Yanoszewski v. Yanoszewski	6.2.59

Section 3 THOSE PROVISIONS WHICH APPLY
TO SECTION 4

(a) General

Problem	6.3.1
The Statute	6.3.2

(b) Occurrence or resumption of
cohabitation

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	6.3.3
---	-------

(c) Prejudicial effect of decree

The statute	6.3.4.
Williams v. Williams	6.3.4
Secord v. Secord	6.3.7
Williston v. Williston	6.3.8
Wallace v. Wallace	6.3.9
L.H. v. L.H.H.	6.3.11
Jackson v. Jackson	6.3.15
Hansford v. Hansford	6.3.20

Section 4 THOSE PROVISIONS WHICH APPLY
TO SECTION 4(1)(e)

(a) General

Problem	6.4.1
The Statute	6.4.1

(b) Prejudicial effect of decree

Johnstone v. Johnstone	6.4.2
Williams v. Williams	6.4.8
Smith v. Smith	6.4.9
Bigelow v. Bigelow	6.4.12
Challoner v. Challoner	6.4.14
Williston v. Williston	6.4.15
Fleming v. Fleming	6.4.16
Derrick v. Derrick	6.4.17
Ferguson v. Ferguson	6.4.19
Savage v. Savage	6.4.21
Leger v. Leger	6.4.22

Section 5 GENERAL BARS TO RELIEF

(a) General

Problem	6.5.1
The Statute	6.5.2

(v)

(b) Consent

Woodbeck v. Woodbeck 6.5.3

(c) Admissions

Ewasiuk v. Ewasiuk 6.5.4
Veysey v. Veysey 6.5.5
B. v. Dame D. 6.5.6
MacCurdy v. MacCurdy 6.5.8
Giroux v. Dame Ouellette 6.5.10

(d) Collusion

Johnson v. Johnson 6.5.12
Gosling v. Gosling 6.5.17
Campbell v. Campbell 6.5.24
Tannis v. Tannis 6.5.28
Milne v. Milne 6.5.30
Stavridis v. Stavridis 6.5.31
Matrimonial Causes Act 1965 (U.K.) 6.5.37
Divorce Reform Act 1969 (U.K.) 6.5.38
Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 6.5.38

